

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/830,058 | 04/23/2004 | Cunku Xi | P69670US0 | 8717 |
| 136 7590 99/12/2008 JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WEIER, ANTHONY J | |
| SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | . , | | 1794 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| | 10/830,058 | XI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Anthony Weier | 1794 | |

| | Anthony Weier 1794 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address |
| THE | REPLY FILED 03 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. |
| 1. 🛛 | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.314. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |
| a) | |
| b) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO |
| nave under set fo may r | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f), tions of film eney be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee even fled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on |
| AME | NDMENTS |
| 3. 🗆 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| | (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| | (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). |
| = | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 6. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| 7. 🛛 | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\) will not be entered, or b) \(\) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: |
| | Claim(s) objected to:Claim(s) rejected: 1-1. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. |
| <u>AFFI</u> | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE |
| в. 🗆 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). |
| 9. 🗆 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> eljections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d/1). |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER |
| 11. 🛭 | The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the references as applied teach the invention as specifically claimed. It should be noted that Applicant argues that JP 61-25454 prepares a noodle that is 70-85% wheat with sovbean being a minor portion of same. Examiner disagrees. At least the abstract |
| | and title of JP 61-25454 indicate that the noodle product is soybean based. Moreover, the amount of binding powder may be only 30% while the presence of soybean material may be much more extensive. |

/Anthony Weier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Application No. Part of Paper No. 20080910